property from the pleadings and proofs now in the cause, and such other proofs as the parties may lay before him, and the question of the accountability of the said defendant to pay such value will be reserved for further order.

With regard to the deed to the defendant, Joseph D. Worley. of the 20th of March, 1845, I am satisfied that it is void under our insolvent system, as having been made "with a view, and under the expectation of taking the benefit of the insolvent laws." This deed, which was only executed two days before the grantor did actually apply for the benefit of the insolvent laws, conveyed to Worley all her remaining property, being her interest in her deceased husband's estate, and it is, as I think, impossible to suppose that she did not then contemplate making such application. She had stripped herself by these conveyances of every atom of property she had in the world. Her creditors were numerous and pressing, and, as is asked by the Court of Appeals, in the case of Dulany vs. Hoffman, 7 G. & J., 177, "what reasonable expectation could she have had of being exempted from liability on account of her debts, and without such exemption, what other means of getting rid of her liabilities was left to her, than an application for the benefit of the insolvent laws?"

There are, moreover, circumstances connected with the alleged consideration for this deed to Worley, which could, perhaps, condemn it, even if not liable to the objection which has been stated. But I deem it sufficient to say, that it appears to me that it comes within the range of the insolvent system, and must be set aside as at variance with its policy.

The counsel for the complainant may prepare a decree vacating the three deeds, and appointing a trustee to make sale of the property contained in them, with a direction that for the proceeds of sale, the trustee in insolvency shall account in the Insolvent Court. The decree may also contain a provision referring the case to the Auditor, for the purpose of stating and reporting an account from the pleadings and proof now in the cause, and such other proof as the parties may lay before him, of the value of the personal property contained in the deed of the 22d of August, 1843, and such other accounts